Planning and Zoning Commission HALUP Elected and Appointed Official Meeting Minutes June 2, 2010 5:30 P.M.

The Harrisburg Land Use Plan Elected and Appointed Officials meeting was held on June 2, 2010, at 5:30 p.m. Members present from the Planning and Zoning Commission were Mr. Barry Shoemaker, Mr. Eugene Divine, and Mr. Ian Prince. Attending from the Planning and Zoning Division were, Ms. Susie Morris, Planning and Zoning Manager, Mr. Jonathan Marshall, Commerce Director, Ms. Arlena Roberts, Clerk to the Planning and Zoning Commission and other Elected and Appointed Officials.

Ms. Meg Nealon, Alec Brebner and Beth Poovey from LandDesign gave a brief presentation on the progress to date, and preliminary recommendations on the Harrisburg Area Land Use Plan (See attached slides). Ms. Nealon stated that some of the information presented is not necessarily new; some of the information started as ideas in the charette. She said there are still some things about the details that need to be nailed down. They would like to get comments from the officials on how some of the items read; to see what resonates with them, what may give them heartburn, and how we think about it in a different way. Ms. Nealon would like more specific direction to round out the recommendation and implementation strategies, to be able to move on to Phase 4, Plan Preparation.

Progress to date:

- Analysis of inventory of existing conditions.
- Met with stakeholders to get input and feed back as to what is happening in this area.
- November held 1st Community meetings to set goals
- February held Charette prepared maps, sketches and refine goals
- 2nd Community meeting presented findings, refined the plan
- Developed recommendations

The group broke into small group work sessions to discuss the presentation.

Preliminary Recommendations:

- Plan Development map: Discussion point: Is this map reflective of the community's vision? Response: yes
- Conceptual Preservation Plan Map: Discussion point: Do you want recommendations that would prompt Harrisburg in the future to have a preservation plan. The map identifies some features that could be included. The text identifies some strategies that might be considered to implement the preservation plan.

Response: Consider recommendation for preservation plan; but how the plan is directed to take shape and how it is conducted may be best built on the

information that we contain with the concept in the appendix, except for things like tools that people opt to utilize on their own as it relates to preservation.

- Subdivision Incentives for Preservation: Discussion point: Recommend that the lot size go down below a half acre, is that acceptable or not.
 Response: Review current ordinance and possibly increase flexibility
- Conceptual Transportation Network Map: Discussion Point: Do you support implementation of the thoroughfare plan and steps that would implement those? Do you support additional collectors that would support the land use pattern? Do you want to make sidewalk or multiuse trails a reality.

Response: Recommend a corridor overlay study be done, support collector streets and support transportation improvement projects

 Roads and Community Character: Discussion Point: Does the Town envision road corridors with scenic value or rural character. Does the Town support greenways and other facilities for enhanced mobility for bicycles and pedestrians?
Response: Support the concept of supporting greenways and bicycles and other

Response: Support the concept of supporting greenways and bicycles and other facilities as part of the network.

• Downtown:

Discussion Point: How to address the Town Center area on Highway 49. Do you want traditional principles or traditional Town planning in the recommendation to guide future development in what is delineated as the downtown area. **Response:** Yes and the use of generic images to make that point. Send principles forth, treat as outlined in the plan as part of the recommendations, coupled with generic imagery as it is currently, and other options of what it could look like. Also make a recommendation for a corridor study for Highway 49.

• Transportation Network – Transit:

Discussion Point: Do you support improvements that facilitate the provision of transit in Harrisburg or other service that connects Harrisburg to regional transit faculties.

Response: Keep recommendations and add commuter rail and add awareness campaign.

• Utility Phasing:

Discussion Point: Phase water and sewer service through a separate inter-local agreement.

Response: Agrees with recommendation

The meeting ended at 8:47 p.m.

APPROVED BY:

Todd Berg, Chairman

SUBMITTED BY:

Arlena B. Roberts Planning and Zoning Clerk

ATTEST BY:

Susie Morris Planning and Zoning Manager